UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

2012 AUG 28 AH 10: 59

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 08CROA40+BTMc - CASE ONE
Plaintiff,	BE PUT Y
vs.	JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL
PETER CARLO MERTENS [1],	
Defendant.	
IT APPEARING that the for the reason that:	defendant is now entitled to be discharged
	ed in another case against the defendant an motion of the Government for dismissal of ce; or
the Court has dismissed the	e case for unnecessary delay; or
X the Court has granted the motion of the Government for dismissal, without prejudice; or	
the Court has granted the racquittal; or	motion of the defendant for a judgment of
a jury has been waived, and the Court has found the defendant not guilty; or	
the jury has returned its	verdict, finding the defendant not guilty;
18:1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and (h); 18:982(a)(1) - Conspiracy to (1); 18:1956(a)(1)(B)(i); 18:2; 18:982(a)(1) - Laundering (2-31); 31:5324(a)(3) and 18:371; 31:5317(c)(1) - Conspiracy; 31:5324(a)(3) and (d)(2); 18:2; 31:5317(c)(1) - Str (33); 31:5324(a)(3) and (d)(2); 18:2; 31:5317(c)(1) - Str (34); 31:5324(a)(3) and (d)(2); 18:2; 31:5317(c)(1) - Str (35-38); 31:5314(a)(3) and (d)(2); 18:2; 31:5317(c)(1) - (39-40);	
II IO INDICIONE ADOUB	1 A 1/2 A 20

Barry Ted Moskowitz
U.S. District Judge

DATED: 08/24/2012